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Background and Motivation
The Basic Idea

- In room response simulation for time of flight imaging, we are interested in computing the **propagation of light**
  - from a light source (\(L\))
  - through a room (defined by some geometry and surface properties \(G\))
  - to a sensor array (\(S\))

In the real world, \(L\) and \(S\) are part of a **Time-of-flight (ToF) camera** assembly.
The Goal

- Unlike in e.g. image rendering or lighting computations, the goal of the simulation is to compute a radiosity time series for each geometric primitive.
- Based on this time series, which simulates the actual photons received by a ToF camera sensor, scene depth can be reconstructed.
- With RSim, since the exact depth is known, different scenes and reconstruction schemes can be easily evaluated.

→ Use during development of better ToF hardware implementations or software algorithms.
Algorithm Overview

1. Read input data, including geometric primitives \((G)\), their surface material information \((\rho)\), and initial impulse

2. Pre-computation of the per-triangle area \((A_i)\)

3. Mutual signal delay computation, storing the signal delay for each triangle pair \((g_i, g_j)\) in \(\tau_{ij}\)

4. Mutual visibility computation, evaluating the energy transfer between each triangle pair stochastically and storing in \(K_{ij}\)

5. For each timestep \(t \in [0, T')\):
   - Propagate radiosity, computing \(rad_{t,i}\) for each triangle \(g_i\) in all pairs \((g_i, g_j)\) based on \(K_{ij}\) and \(rad_{t-1,i}\)

6. Compute the distance from the light/sensor position to each triangle \(g_i\), based on \(rad_{[0,T),i}\)
Algorithm Performance and Data Requirement Analysis
## Algorithm Steps

1. Input data prep.
2. Pre-compute $A_i$
3. Pre-compute $\tau_{ij}$
4. Mutual visibility comp. $\rightarrow K_{ij}$
5. Radiosity propagation $\rightarrow rad_{[0,T),i}$
6. Compute distance

Analyse time complexity for each step of the algorithm.
Algorithm Steps

Steps 1 and 2 iterate over $N$ triangles, with simple I/O operations and area computation for each element.

Readily identified as $O(N)$ complexity.
Algorithm Steps

1. Input data prep.
2. Pre-compute $A_i$
3. Pre-compute $\tau_{ij}$
4. Mutual visibility comp. $\rightarrow K_{ij}$
5. Radiosity propagation $\rightarrow rad_{[0,T],i}$
6. Compute distance

Computing propagation delay for each pair of triangles $\rightarrow O(N^2)$

However, the fixed factor is low, and compared to the remaining phases, even $N^2$ complexity is largely negligible.
Algorithm Steps

1. Input data prep.
2. Pre-compute $A_i$
3. Pre-compute $\tau_{ij}$
4. Mutual visibility comp. $\rightarrow K_{ij}$
5. Radiosity propagation $\rightarrow rad_{[0,T],i}$
6. Compute distance

Stochastically evaluate the visibility between every pair of triangles – in naïve implementation requires a ray-triangle intersection check against all other triangles in the scene. With $S$ stochastic samples: $\rightarrow O(N^3 \times S)$.

In practice, use geometric acceleration structure. Current RSim on CPU uses octrees, resulting in a reduction of average-case query complexity from $O(N)$ to $O(\log(N))$.

$\rightarrow O(N^2 \times \log(N) \times S)$
## Algorithm Steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Input data prep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Pre-compute $A_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Pre-compute $\tau_{ij}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Mutual visibility comp. $\rightarrow K_{ij}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Radiosity propagation $\rightarrow rad_{[0,T),i}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Compute distance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Uses signal delay $\tau_{ij}$ and mutual visibility information $K_{ij}$, as well as the previous radiosity up to the currently computed timestep $rad_{[0,t),i}$. For each timestep $t$ and each pair $(g_i, g_j)$: Propagate energy between triangles in the pair from time $t - \tau_{i,j}$ according to mutual visibility as well as their surface properties. $\Rightarrow O(N^2 \times T)$
Algorithm Steps

1. Input data prep.
2. Pre-compute $A_i$
3. Pre-compute $\tau_{ij}$
4. Mutual visibility comp. $\to K_{ij}$
5. Radiosity propagation $\to rad_{[0,T),i}$
6. Compute distance

Distance computation usually based on cross-correlation of radiosity time series.

$\to O(N \times T^2)$

$T$ is usually much smaller than $N$, and fixed factor is very small as well. Usually negligible overall, similar to step 3.
Measured Performance

- Scaling trend matches observations on algorithmic complexity
- Clearly mutual visibility computation and radiosity simulation are main priority
Vulkan Raytracing and Compute for Room Response Simulation
Data Management

• A Vulkan implementation needs to be massively data-parallel to be efficient
• And we are constrained in the amount of data we can store on a GPU
  → **Data-centric** view of the algorithm
## Data Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triangles (G)</td>
<td>Indexed vertex buffer</td>
<td>$N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material information ($\rho$)</td>
<td>$3 \times$ FP32</td>
<td>$N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raytracing Buffers</td>
<td>Internal / opaque</td>
<td>$O(N)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Coordinates</td>
<td>$2 \times$ FP32</td>
<td>$S$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutual Visibility ($K_{ij}$)</td>
<td>FP16</td>
<td>$N^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiosity ($rad$)</td>
<td>$4 \times$ FP32</td>
<td>$N \times T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>FP32</td>
<td>$N$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Generally, $S \ll T \ll N$, therefore $K_{ij}$ dominates. $\rightarrow$ FP16 sufficient!
- Signal delay $\tau_{ij}$ recomputed instead of stored.
Hardware Raytracing for Mutual Visibility

- Schematic representation of HW raytracing process
Geometry is static → we can optimize AS build for traversal speed rather than build/update performance
• Descriptor Set: our RT shaders require read-only access to $G$, $\rho$, and the Sample Coordinates buffer, as well as write access to $K_{ij}$

• Shaders: only require ray generation and a single hit and miss shader
Ray generation: generate $S$ rays for every pair of triangles (order independent, thus $N^2/2$ -- $N$ required size, 1D grid)

Aggregate results and write to $K_{ij}$
• Miss shader: trivial, simply set visible=false for use in raygen shader
• Closest hit: check if expected triangle hit
Compute Shader Radiosity Simulation

- Second compute-intensive phase, based on mutual visibility result from HW raytracing

- Implemented using Vulkan compute shaders
  - One shader invocation per time step
  - Important: parallelized in 1D over $N$, not 2D over $N^2$
    - slightly lower potential at small sizes, but less synchronization
Simplified Radiosity Compute Shader

- Excerpt of core loop over destination triangles
- Note data-dependent access to previous radiosity buffer

```cpp
for(uint dstTriIdx = dstTriStart;
dstTriIdx < dstTriEnd; ++dstTriIdx) {
    if(srcTriIdx == dstTriIdx) continue;

    const mat3 dstTri = getTriangle(dstTriIdx);
    const int tauij = calcTauij(srcTri, dstTri);

    // Skip if wave hasn't yet propagated between ← triangle i and triangle dstTriIdx.
    if(timestep < tauij) continue;

    // Use radiosity from the point in time where ← emission actually took place.
    const Radiosity link =
        radBuffer.r[(timestep - tauij) * N + dstTriIdx];

    if(link.B == 0.0f && link.Z == 0.0f) continue;

    const uint index = (dstTriIdx - dstTriStart)
        * N + srcTriIdx;
    const kij_t kij = curKijBuffer.f[index];
    if(kij == 0.0f) continue;

    radBuffer.r[timestep * N + srcTriIdx].B +=
        clamp01(kij * calcArea(dstTri)) * link.B;
    radBuffer.r[timestep * N + srcTriIdx].Z +=
        clamp01(kij * calcArea(srcTri)) * link.Z;
}
```
Data Streaming with Latency Hiding
Streaming Motivation

- Recall that mutual visibility buffer $K_{ij}$ requires $N^2$ entries
- Therefore GPU memory limited to low triangle counts
- Recomputation is not desirable $\rightarrow$ slowdown by at least factor 10
- Solution: asynchronous streaming
  - Minimize performance impact by suitable chunking and latency hiding
RTX-RSim Streaming Scheme

- Requires two extra chunk buffers for double buffering
  - Linear rather than quadratic in size!
Streaming for Mutual Visibility

- Mutual Visibility step generates $K_{ij} \rightarrow$ also requires streaming
- Implementation simpler, only need to stream the finished data out once
- Also less performance critical, since mutual visibility computation has higher per-element cost
  $\rightarrow$ We actually see speedup with streaming in some results!
Performance Evaluation

All results on an AMD Ryzen TR 2920X + NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2070 system

Note that CPU results are fully parallelized
Overall CPU vs. RTX-RSim Comparison

- CPU results roughly linear on logarithmic scale
- GPU result worse at “Small” size (insufficient parallelism in radiosity comp.)
- Factor ~20 improvement over CPU at “Medium” and larger
Speedup of individual phases

- Very high speedup in mutual visibility phase with hardware raytracing
- Radiosity simulation limited by:
  - lack of parallelism at “Small” size
  - streaming requirements at “Large” size
Streaming Performance Impact

- Raytracing actually benefits from streaming (hiding some transfer latency)
- Roughly 40% performance impact on radiosity simulation due to streaming
- Not ideal, but order of magnitude better than recomputation
Summary & Conclusion
Conclusion

- Using new raytracing hardware for accelerating room response simulation is both viable and effective
  - Over factor 100 improvement in raytracing-heavy phases compared to CPU
- Vulkan compute shaders are a good cross-platform and cross-vendor alternative to e.g. CUDA, OpenCL and SYCL if direct interaction with graphics features is required
- Streaming with full latency hiding allows overcoming GPU memory limits for this algorithm with moderate performance impact
  - But is still limited by PCIe bandwidth
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