The Great Beyond: Higher Productivity, Parallel Processors and the Extraordinary Search for a Theory of Expression

Alan S. Ward

Distinguished Member Technical Staff, Manager, Multicore Development Tools

Texas Instruments

Title Inspiration:

"The Great Beyond: Higher Dimensions, Parallel Universes and the Extraordinary Search for a Theory of Everything", Paul Halpern



SoC Trends



- Power, Performance, and Area (Cost) is optimized through specialization and replication.
 - The business case is clear !
- The cost:
 - Increased software complexity
 - Specialized developer skills
 - Reduced application portability
- The goal:
 - Keep the benefits of hardware specialization and replication,
 - And eliminate reduce the delta cost!

DSP Digital Signal Processor DSE Domain Specific Engine H. IP Hardware IP block uC Microcontroller



Maintaining Software Investment / Facilitating OpenCL Adoption

Single Core to Multicore

- OpenMP introduced
- New software application can run single or multicore

Multicore to Heterogeneous Multicore

- OpenCL introduced, but
 - What about existing code?
 - What about OpenMP in existing code?
 - What about malloc/free in existing code?
 - What about ???

An answer of "rewrite using "pure" OpenCL" was rejected

- Additional cost for status quo !
- Additional code base as the OpenCL version would not backward run on the multicore platforms.

Simple solution (examples)

- Allow OpenCL C code to call standard C code (including OpenMP enabled C code)
- Provide a means for dynamic heap allocation (all memory spaces) that does not conflict with OpenCL runtime allocations.





OpenCL C calling Standard C

const char *kern_src = "kernel void oclwrapper(global char * buf, int size) { alg(&buf[get_group_id(0)*size], size); } ";



- The standard C Code is pre-compiled outside the OpenCL context and the resultant object filename is simply passed as an option to the OpenCL C build method.
 - Could use 1.2 separate compile and link model
 - However, current implementation is 1.1 conformant and we wished to us the 1.1 C++ bindings unmodified.
- If the alg function is OpenMP enabled
 - The OpenMP runtime is embedded in our OpenCL runtime, so nothing further is needed on the build side.
 - On the run side, user must ensure parallelism from OpenCL kernels and parallelism from OpenMP do not conflict
 - Ensured if the kernel is submitted to an "in order" queue as a task (i.e. 1 work-item)



TI's Logical View of OpenCL execution



Color Key

Barrier – Not executed, but cannot be popped from queue until all DSP cores are free. Adjacent barriers behave like one.

Workgroup – Popped from queue and executed on one free dsp core.

Coherency – Explicit cache coherency operations if needed. These are popped off queue and executed by all DSP cores.

Task – Popped from queue and executed on one free dsp core. These contain embedded coherency operations.

Queue Patterns for different kernel enqueue methods



enqueueNDRangeKernel(Queue, ...)



enqueueTask(InOrderQueue, ...)



enqueueTask(OutOfOrderQueue, ...)



OpenCL C calling Std C calling malloc/free



- Unadorned malloc/free are available
 - But, to a size limited heap.
 - Did not want to partition available memory between OpenCL managed and malloc managed.
 - Did not want to have devices send malloc/free requests to the host
- Created adorned malloc/free
 - Using additional built-in functions
 - __heap_init_ddr, __malloc_ddr, __free_ddr
 - __heap_init_msmc, __malloc_msmc, __free_msmc
 - __heap_init_l2, __malloc_l2
 - DDR and MSMC heaps persist for the lifetime of the buffer containing the heap
 - L2 heaps persist for the lifetime of a kernel invocation



A Different View of OpenCL:

OpenCL Reduces Software Complexity ?

It depends on your frame of reference !

If this is your frame of reference



No

If this is your frame of reference





Custom Device feature extends OpenCL control

Three Categories of non OpenCL C capability

- uC, microcontrollers
 - No support floating point, (emulated at cost)
- DSE, Domain Specific Engine
 - Specialized ISA, not generally programmable
 - Can be programmed with a DSL
- H. IP, Hardware IP blocks
 - Fixed function
 - May have controls, configurations
 - Consumes and/or Produces

Still useful to leverage OpenCL buffers, events on these alternative devices.

Custom Device allows them to be programmed with either:

- An OpenCL C subset
- A DSL
- Selection from a set of fixed functions.



Heterogeneous Multicore

Various Multicore



OpenCL execution model: A fit for Classical Embedded?

Typical OpenCL applications execute in a master-worker model.

- Host is responsible for execution, scheduling, and data availability.

Typical Embedded execution is a data flow model.

- Distributed control and execution
- The algorithm is partitioned into multiple blocks.
 - Each block is assigned to a device compute unit.
 - The output of one block is input directly to the next block.
 - A block is stimulated awake by data ready
- Partition the algorithm to optimize performance
- The flow typically repeats on a regular basis







OpenCL execution model: A fit for Classical Embedded?

Control Flow \longrightarrow Data Flow \longrightarrow



In a shared virtual memory domain:

- The data can flow direct
- No communication hops through host required

OpenCL 2.x added a number of features that assist a Data Flow Model:

- Pipes
- Shared virtual memory, in general
- Fine grained virtual memory, memory ordering rules and atomics
- Device side kernel enqueue

OpenCL 1.2 added Device Partitioning

 Which allows a static partition of algorithmic blocks to reserved portions of a device.



10



But, What about ?

- Using the OpenCL 2.0 feature set
 - We can implement the data flow model within a device,
 - In a power efficient manner.
- But, what about data flow across devices?
 - Can't use device-side enqueue, for example
 - Perhaps?
 - Power efficient?





