

SYCL SC State of the Union IWOCL'24

April 10, 2024 Victor Perez & Hugh Delaney On behalf of the SYCL SC WG

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Agenda

- <u>Background</u>
- Highlights of last 12 months
- Ecosystem

°S ° O° Z° V°

K H R

Khronos Safety Critical Standards Evolution



K H R S S

SYCL SC Working Group Officers

Verena Beckham VP of Safety Engineering at



Chair

() codeplay[®]

Leonidas Kosmidis

Senior Researcher at



Spec Editor



Andriy Byzhynar Software Architect at

intellias

Outreach Officer

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

SYCL SC Working Group Regular Members



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

°S[↑] O° Z°

K H R

What is "Safety-Critical"?

- A system is *Safety-Critical* if its failure could result in harm/death of people
- SC industries: automotive, avionics, medical, rail, atomic
- Often certified according to standards
 - Automotive: ISO 26262
 - Avionics: DO-178C
 - Medical: IEC 62304
- Standards define safety levels: ASIL A-D / DAL A-E / Class A-C
- Require Functional Safety
 - Absence of unreasonable risk caused by malfunction
 - => Risk has been analyzed, mitigated to a reasonable level, proven
 - A system property
 - More than just language safety

SYCL SC

• Why?

- SC industries increasingly require *acceleration* of software, due to
 - Rising popularity of AI algorithms
 - Proliferation of heterogeneous computing
 - Increasing demand for performance
- What?

S O Z V

HR

 $\mathbf{\Sigma}$

- Based on SYCL 2020
- Modifications to ease safety-certification
 - Of the implementation of the standard
 - Of the SYCL application



What SYCL SC is Not

SYCL SC will not

S¹ O² Z

2

Т

- Tell you how to implement a "safe" application
- Guarantee a safe application
- Tell you how to implement a "safe" SYCL SC runtime
- Guarantee a safe runtime
- Tell you how to apply any industry process or standard
- Be certified (as a standard)
- Make your hardware safe



SYCL SC will be compatible with you doing the above, but cannot do it for you. SYCL SC assumes that you are using safe HW, e.g. incorporating redundancy, EDC/ECC, watchdogs.

Agenda

- Background
- Highlights of last 12 months
- Ecosystem

S O ° Z V

K H R

Online Compilation

- A SYCL implementation can do one or both of:
 - Online compilation of kernels at run-time
 - Offline compilation of kernels
- Some SYCL features rely on online compilation, e.g.
 - Specialization constants
 - Parts of kernel_bundle

Se

້ທຼ

0° 2°

HR

 $\mathbf{\Sigma}$



- All deployed SW needs to be safety certified
- Safety certification is expensive
 - Follow strict processes
 - Write code in a careful way (e.g. follow guidelines)
 - Perform exhaustive testing

o ...

- Don't want to certify a compiler!
- Offline compilation allows verification of binary during development phase

Implies: Focus on offline compilation only in SYCL SC.

Development vs. Deployment

Traditional SW development



Safety critical SW development



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

S O Z V

R

I

 $\mathbf{\Sigma}$

Development vs. Deployment Features

Examples:

Development Feature	Deployment Feature
profiling support	queues
stream class	buffers/accessors



All deployed code must be safety certified



Certification is expensive

K H R S S S

Remove development features 🔄 Lower certification costs

Development features can still be implemented outside of the spec! Expect:

- Debug & Release builds of SYCL SC runtime OR
- Use SYCL implementation for development, move to SYCL SC for deployment

Implies: Make it easy for a SYCL SC application to run on a SYCL runtime

Additional Discussion Items

The WG has also started discussing

Avoiding Dynamic Memory on Host

- Dynamic memory in C++ is not deterministic
- All memory allocation typically static or up-front in SC applications
- Finding a balance between determinism and algorithm flexibility

Deterministic Error Management

- SYCL uses C++ exception
- Timing of exception handling not deterministic in common compilers
- Some custom compilers support this
- Challenge: Keep the difference to Base SYCL small

Outreach





Safety Critical Open Standards for Accelerated Heterogeneous Computing

We have seen an explosion in Machine Learning and AI solutions over the past decade due in part to the ecosystem of open standard libraries and frameworks that enable engineers to prototype ideas quickly. Now, as the need increases for safety-critical APIs that can meet application engineers at levels of abstraction that they are familiar with, open standards for high-level abstraction in safety-critical heterogeneous computing such as SYCL Safety Critical and those that facilitate low-level access to GPU acceleration for advanced graphics and compute applications, such as Vulkan Safety Critical are enabling applications in safety-critical markets such as automotive, avionics, industrial, and medical. This session will also discuss how OpenVX provides a safety profile for deploying discrete vision algorithms and Neural Network inferencing. This session explores how these safety-critical standards adhere to MISRA C++ guidelines and align with safety-critical standards such as RTCA D0-178C Level A / EASA ED-12C (avionics), ISO 26262/21448 iutomotive), IEC 61508 (industrial), and IEC 62304 (Medical).



In addition to member presentations

Agenda

- Background
- Highlights of last 12 months
- <u>Ecosystem</u>

S O ° Z V

K H R



Unified Acceleration Foundation (UXL)

Mission

- Build a multi-architecture multi-vendor software ecosystem for all accelerators
- Unify the heterogeneous compute ecosystem around open standards
- Build on and expand open source projects for accelerated computing





New Safety Critical SIG

Aim:

Enable/accelerate integration of UXL projects into safety critical systems

Potential activities:

- Analyse/Suggest changes to make projects easier to safety certify;
- Communicate SC-specific requirements;
- Discuss certification/integration strategies;
- Collaborate on SYCL SC porting & safety artefacts.

Open to anyone

To join: https://lists.uxlfoundation.org/g/Safety-Critical-SIG

Khronos AUTOSAR Liaison: SYCL Demonstrator

Motivation

Currently there is no native AUTOSAR functionality to utilize hardware accelerators for high performance computation. Only way is to integrate 3rd party libraries which can affect safety.







At the same time there is a challenge for AUTOSAR Adaptive Platform to cover cutting-edge functionality like:

- AD/ADAS systems
- Performing heavy algorithms
- AI
 etc.
- Thank you to AUTOSAR and Intellias



The main aim: creation of generic API in AUTOSAR, which allows to utilize hardware acceleration for computation efficiency improvement. SYCL is the best candidate to be used under the hood. Moreover, SYCL SC will potentially add required safety compatibility.



The main goal of this concept is to enable parallel heterogeneous programming, using standardized C++ based API, for solving issue of high performance computing.

Important part of the concept is to consider ISO-26262 Standard without sacrificing of performance.



Get Involved!

S O Z V

Ŕ

I

Excited about getting your hands on this? Are you piqued by the challenge?



Get in contact!

Member of Khronos? Join the Working Group! Not a member? Look out for Advisory Panels!

Visit <u>www.khronos.org/syclsc</u> Contact sycl_sc-chair@lists.khronos.org or verena@codeplay.com